ORDER SHEET

West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

&

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. **OA-814 of 2019**

Biman Mondal Versus The State of W		est Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	3
03 19/02/2020	For the Applicant: Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Mrs. S. Agarwal, Learned Advocates.	
	For the State Respondent: Mr. A.L. Basu, Learned Advocate.	
	Mr. Basu, Learned Counsel for the state respondents	
	has prayed for accommodation for filing reply. Accommodation is granted.	
	Mr. G.P. Banerjee, Learned Counsel for the applicant	
	submits that the applicant may be granted an interim	
	order not to deduct a sum of ₹ 4036/- from the salary of	
	the applicant every month on the ground of over payment.	
	Mr. Banerjee further submits that the applicant prayed for	
	Earned Leave for a period of 16 (sixteen) days from	
	January 23, 2019 to February 07, 2019 on the ground of	
	marriage, but the applicant could not resume duty on	
	medical ground on February 08, 2019 and subsequently	
	prayed for extension of the period of leave upto March 15,	
	2019. He also submits that on resuming duty the applicant	
	found to his utter surprise that a sum of ₹ 4036/- is	
	deducted from the salary bill of the applicant from the	
	month of May, 2019 onwards.	
	Mr. Basu, Learned Counsel representing the state	
	respondents submits that leave was initially granted in	
	favour of the applicant from January 23, 2019 to February	
	07, 2019 on the ground of marriage of the applicant, but	
	he did not resume duty on expiry of the leave, inspite of	
	issuance of three notices in favour of the applicant. He	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form	NΙο
rorm	No.

Biman Mondal

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others

Case No. OA-8 1	A-814 of 2019			
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.		
1	2	3		
	further submits that the applicant subsequently submitted			
	incomplete application without putting signature praying			
	for extension of leave, but he had drawn salary even when			
	he was absent from duty. According to Mr. Basu, the			
	Respondent No. 3 having no other alternative treated the			
	absence of the applicant from February 08, 2019 to March			
	10, 2019 (36 days) as Extraordinary Leave without pay and			
	pass appropriate order for recovery of excess payment			
	already made in favour of the applicant. He also submits			
	that the recovery of excess payment will come to an end			
	with salary bill for the month of February, 2020.			
	Having heard Learned Counsel representing both			
	parties and on consideration of the fact of unauthorized			
	absence of the applicant from duty and consequent order			
	of recovery of excess payment from the salary bill of the			
	applicant, we would like to hold that recovery of excess			
	payment should be subject to the decision of the present			
	original application. Accordingly, we are passing the			
	interim order to the effect that the recovery of excess			
	payment made from the salary bill of the applicant @ ₹			
	4036/- per month will be subject to the decision of the			
	original application. List the matter under the heading			
	'Reply/Rejoinder' on March 24, 2020.			
	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG			

MEMBER(J)

MEMBER(A)

Csm